## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Jaime Lopez-Jimenez	Case Number: <u>11-10123M-001</u>	
present and	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § was represented by counsel. I conclude the defendant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on September 13, 2011. Defendant was by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
I find by a pro	eponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
, N	•	United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
$\boxtimes$		The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum	n of years imprisonment.	
The at the time of	Court incorporates by reference the mat f the hearing in this matter, except as n	rerial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court often in the record.	
		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1.	There is a serious risk that the defe	ndant will flee.	
2.	No condition or combination of cond	ditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
	DIRECT	IONS REGARDING DETENTION	
a corrections appeal. The of the United	facility separate, to the extent practicable defendant shall be afforded a reasonable States or on request of an attorney for the states.	of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in le, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending le opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court he Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
	APPEAL	S AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
		is detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to in to Pretin I Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
		to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial re the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	

DATE: September 13, 2011

investigate the potential third party custodian.

JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge